

# *Dynamic Mode Decomposition*

L. Cordier

Laurent.Cordier@univ-poitiers.fr

**PPRIME Institute**

**Poitiers, France**



### Input:

Consider an ensemble of snapshots  $\mathbf{v}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$  such that

$$V_1^N = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \dots, \mathbf{v}_N\} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$$

### Hypothesis #1:

Assume a linear mapping  $A$  between  $\mathbf{v}_i$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{i+1}$

$$\mathbf{v}_{i+1} = A\mathbf{v}_i \quad \text{with} \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$$

*i.e.*  $V_1^N$  is Krylov matrix of dimension  $m \times N$

$$V_1^N = \{\mathbf{v}_1, A\mathbf{v}_1, A^2\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, A^{N-1}\mathbf{v}_1\}$$

### Objective:

Determine a good approximation of the eigen-elements of  $A$  without knowing  $A$ !!

• Hypothesis #2:

If  $N$  is sufficiently large, we can express  $\mathbf{v}_N$  as a linear combination of the previous  $\mathbf{v}_i$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$ ) i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_N &= c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_{N-1} \mathbf{v}_{N-1} + \mathbf{r} \\ &= V_1^{N-1} \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{r}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$

• Ruhe (1984) proved that

Proof on blackboard

$$\boxed{AV_1^{N-1} = V_1^{N-1}S + \mathbf{r}\mathbf{e}_{N-1}^T} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is the  $i$ th Euclidean unitary vector of length  $(N - 1)$  and  $S$  a Companion matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_2 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & c_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & c_{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1) \times (N-1)}$$

- We will now show that if we already know eigen-elements of  $S$  then we can determine easily approximated eigen-elements of  $A$ . Indeed, we can demonstrate that:

$$\text{if } S\mathbf{y}_i = \mu_i\mathbf{y}_i \quad \text{then} \quad A\mathbf{z}_i \simeq \mu_i\mathbf{z}_i \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{z}_i = V_1^{N-1}\mathbf{y}_i$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathbf{z}_i - \mu_i\mathbf{z}_i &= AV_1^{N-1}\mathbf{y}_i - \mu_iV_1^{N-1}\mathbf{y}_i \\ &= AV_1^{N-1}\mathbf{y}_i - V_1^{N-1}S\mathbf{y}_i \\ &= (AV_1^{N-1} - V_1^{N-1}S)\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{r}e_{N-1}^T\mathbf{y}_i \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \|\mathbf{r}\| \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Next step: determination of  $S$  i.e.  $c$
- We can show (Bau and Trefethen, 1997) that

$$c = R^{-1} Q^H v_N \quad \text{where} \quad V_1^{N-1} = QR$$

- Difficulty: this algorithm is ill-conditioned i.e. it leads rapidly to non meaningful dynamic modes.

- Results from Bau and Trefethen (1997)

Consider the linear system  $r = b - Ax$ . Its least-mean square solution is given by the  $QR$  algorithm:

1.  $QR$  factorization of  $A$ :  $A=QR$
2. Determine  $Q^H$
3. Solve the upper triangular system  $Rx = Q^H b$  or  $x = R^{-1} Q^H b$



## DMD algorithm

$$[\mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \text{Res}] = \text{DMD} (V_1^N)$$

Input:  $N$  sequence of snapshots  $V_1^N = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \dots, \mathbf{v}_N\}$

Output:  $(N - 1)$  empirical Ritz vectors  $\mathbf{Z}$  and Ritz values  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ; Res: residual.

- 1:  $m = \text{size} (V_1^N, 1)$
- 2:  $N = \text{size} (V_1^N, 2)$
- 3:  $\mathbf{v}_N = V_1^N(:, N)$
- 4:  $V_1^{N-1} = V_1^N(:, 1 : N - 1)$
- 5:  $V_2^N = V_1^N(:, 1 : N - 1)$
- 6:  $\mathbf{c} = V_1^{N-1} / \mathbf{v}_N$
- 7:  $S = \text{companion} (\mathbf{c})$
- 8:  $[Y, \boldsymbol{\mu}] = \text{eig}(S)$
- 9:  $Z = V_1^{N-1} Y$
- 10:  $\text{Res} = \text{norm} (V_2^N - V_1^{N-1} S, 1)$

with  $Z = (\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_N)$  and  $Y = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N)$ .



- Apply the SVD

$$V_1^{N-1} = U\Sigma W^H \quad \text{with} \quad UU^H = WW^H = I$$

Remarks:

- $U$  contains the spatial POD eigenfunctions and,
  - $W$  contains the temporal POD eigenfunctions  
so, we can claim that **POD** is a **by-product of DMD!**
- Starting from  $AV_1^{N-1} = V_1^{N-1}S + \mathbf{r}e_{N-1}^T$  and first considering that  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ , we obtain after some manipulations:

$$U^H AU = U^H V_2^N W \Sigma^{-1} = S$$

Since  $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we have:

$$\boxed{U^H AU = U^H V_2^N W \Sigma^{-1} = \tilde{S}} \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{S} \quad \text{is a full matrix.}$$

- We will now show that if we already know eigen-elements of  $\tilde{S}$  then we can determine easily approximated eigen-elements of  $A$ . Indeed, we can demonstrate that:

$$\text{if } \tilde{S}\mathbf{y}_i = \mu_i\mathbf{y}_i \quad \text{then} \quad A\Phi_i = \mu_i\Phi_i \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_i = U\mathbf{y}_i$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} A\Phi_i = \mu_i\Phi_i &\Rightarrow AU\mathbf{y}_i = \mu_iU\mathbf{y}_i \\ &\Rightarrow U^H AU\mathbf{y}_i = \mu_iU^H U\mathbf{y}_i = \mu_i\mathbf{y}_i \\ &\Rightarrow \tilde{S}\mathbf{y}_i = \mu_i\mathbf{y}_i \end{aligned}$$



DMD:

- generalization of a **Rayleigh-Ritz procedure** to the case where the subspace of projection is not orthogonal.
- Direct link with the **Arnoldi algorithm** classically used when  $A$  is known.
- Determination this week using XAMC.

"without an inexpensive method for reducing the cost of flow computations, it is unlikely that the solution of optimization problems involving the three dimensional unsteady Navier-Stokes system will become routine"

M. Gunzburger, 2000

# Questions ???

