Méthodes numériques d'homogénéisation pour des problèmes elliptiques non-linéaires non-monotones.

Gilles Vilmart

en collaboration avec Assyr Abdulle



Section de mathématiques

#### Journées GDR Calcul, Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

Nonlinear homogenization meth

Paris, July 6th, 2011 1 / 20

# Plan of the talk

Problem. 
$$-\nabla \cdot (a^{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon})\nabla u_{\varepsilon}) = f \text{ in } \Omega,$$
  
 $u_{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$ 



- One-scale nonlinear problems
- Homogenization nonlinear problems (two scales)

Analytical framework: homogenization Macroscopic behaviour of multiple scale problems (Bakhvalov, Babuska, Bensoussan, Lions, Papanicolaou, Tartar, Sanchez-Palencia, Jikov, Kozlov, Oleinik, Nguetseng, Fusco, Moscariello, Boccardo, Murat,...) Analytical framework: homogenization Macroscopic behaviour of multiple scale problems (Bakhvalov, Babuska, Bensoussan, Lions, Papanicolaou, Tartar, Sanchez-Palencia, Jikov, Kozlov, Oleinik, Nguetseng, Fusco, Moscariello, Boccardo, Murat,...)

Elliptic example. 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\underbrace{a^{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}}_{\xi_{\varepsilon}}) = f$$
, on  $\Omega$ ,  $u_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .  
where the tensor  $a^{\varepsilon}(x)$  varies rapidely in space (at the scale  $\varepsilon$ ).  
Question:  $u_{\varepsilon} \to u_0$  for  $\varepsilon \to 0$  ? equation for  $u_0$  ?  
Assuming  $a^{\varepsilon}$  uniformly elliptic and bounded, we have:

$$u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{H^1}{\rightharpoonup} u_0, \qquad \xi_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{L^2}{\rightharpoonup} \xi_0, \qquad \text{for } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

Analytical framework: homogenization Macroscopic behaviour of multiple scale problems (Bakhvalov, Babuska, Bensoussan, Lions, Papanicolaou, Tartar, Sanchez-Palencia, Jikov, Kozlov, Oleinik, Nguetseng, Fusco, Moscariello, Boccardo, Murat,...)

Elliptic example. 
$$-\nabla \cdot (\underbrace{a^{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}}_{\xi_{\varepsilon}}) = f$$
, on  $\Omega$ ,  $u_{\varepsilon} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .  
where the tensor  $a^{\varepsilon}(x)$  varies rapidely in space (at the scale  $\varepsilon$ ).  
Question:  $u_{\varepsilon} \to u_{0}$  for  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ? equation for  $u_{0}$ ?

Assuming  $a^{\varepsilon}$  uniformly elliptic and bounded, we have:

$$u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{H^1}{\rightharpoonup} u_0, \qquad \xi_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{L^2}{\rightharpoonup} \xi_0, \qquad \text{for } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Homogenization problem: find  $a^0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{d \times d}$  such that  $-\nabla \cdot (\underbrace{a^0 \nabla u_0}_{\xi_0}) = f$ , on  $\Omega$ ,  $u_0 = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Remark: In general  $a^0$  is not a "simple average" (no explicit formula).Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)Nonlinear homogenization meth.Paris, July 6th, 20113 / 20

Finite Element Heterogeneous Multiscale Method (E, Engquist 2003)

$$A(v^{H}, w^{H}) = \int_{K} a(x) \nabla v^{H}(x) \cdot \nabla w^{H}(x) dx, \quad \forall v^{H}, w^{H}$$



with quadrature formulas

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

Nonlinear homogenization meth.

Paris, July 6th, 2011 4 / 20

# Finite Element Heterogeneous Multiscale Method (E, Engquist 2003) $A_{H}(v^{H}, w^{H}) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{H}} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \omega_{K_{j}} a(x_{K_{j}}) \nabla v^{H}(x_{K_{j}}) \cdot \nabla w^{H}(x_{K_{j}}), \quad \forall v^{H}, w^{H}$



with quadrature formulas

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

Finite Element Heterogeneous Multiscale Method (E, Engquist 2003)  $B_{H}(v^{H}, w^{H}) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{H}} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\omega_{K_{j}}}{|K_{\delta_{j}}|} \int_{K_{\delta_{j}}} a^{\varepsilon}(x) \nabla v_{K_{j}}^{h}(x) \cdot w_{K_{j}}^{h}(x) dx, \quad \forall v^{H}, w^{H} \in S_{0}^{\ell}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{H}),$ where  $w^{h}$  is the solution of the micro problem  $w^{h} = w^{H} \in S_{0}^{\ell}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_{H}),$ 

where  $w^h_{K_j}$  is the solution of the micro problem  $w^h_{K_j} - w^H_{lin} \in S^q(\check{K_{\delta_j}}, \mathcal{T}_h)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}_{\delta_j}} \mathsf{a}^\varepsilon(x) \nabla w^h_{\mathcal{K}_j}(x) \cdot \nabla z^h(x) \mathsf{d} x = 0, \quad \forall z^h \in S^q(\mathcal{K}_{\delta_j}, \mathcal{T}_h).$$



# Case of linear parabolic problems (Abdulle and V., 2011)





$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} - \nabla \cdot (a^{\varepsilon} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) = f \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, 1)$$
  
 $u_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,$   
+boundary conditions

# Case of linear parabolic problems (Abdulle and V., 2011)









finescale solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  at t = 1(FE standard, 10<sup>6</sup> degrees of freedom)

# Case of linear parabolic problems (Abdulle and V., 2011)











Homogenized solution  $u_0$  at t = 1 (FE-HMM,  $10^3$  degrees of freedom)

A priori error analysis for parabolic homogenization problems A priori error analysis with convergence rates as a function of the macro and micro mesh sizes H and h:

$$\|u_0 - u^H\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))} \leq C(H^{\ell} + \left(\frac{h}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2q} + r_{MOD}), \\ \|u_0 - u^H\|_{\mathcal{C}^0([0,T],L^2(\Omega))} \leq C(H^{\ell+1} + \left(\frac{h}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2q} + r_{MOD}).$$

where C is a constant independent of H, h,  $\varepsilon$ . A key ingredient is the convergence estimates for FEM with numerical quadrature (Raviart, 1973).

For the time discretization, we consider Runge-Kutta methods of implicit type (e.g. Radau) and of stabilized explicit type (Chebyshev) (semigroups techniques in a Hilbert space framework).

A. Abdulle & G. Vilmart, *Coupling heterogeneous multiscale FEM with Runge-Kutta methods for parabolic homogenization problems: a fully discrete space-time analysis, submitted for publication,* 2011.

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

6 / 20

Nonlinear problems: numerical homogenization Problem.

$$-\nabla \cdot (a^{\varepsilon}(x, u_{\varepsilon})\nabla u_{\varepsilon}) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

First results for the analysis of a numerical homogenization method (HMM) by E,Ming,Zhang (2005); Chen, Savchuk 2007 (MsFEM):
Use ideas from *Two-grid discretization techniques*... (Xu 1996).

Nonlinear problems: numerical homogenization Problem.

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla\cdot \left(a^{\varepsilon}(x,u_{\varepsilon})\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right) &= f & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_{\varepsilon} &= 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

First results for the analysis of a numerical homogenization method (HMM) by E,Ming,Zhang (2005); Chen, Savchuk 2007 (MsFEM):

• Use ideas from *Two-grid discretization techniques*... (Xu 1996). Questions:

- analysis in 3D? their arguments rely on bounds for 2D discrete Green functions.
- Fully discrete analysis (macro and micro errors?)
- L<sup>2</sup> convergence rates
- quadrilateral elements
- uniqueness of the (fully discrete) numerical solution (depends on *H*, *h*, . . .)

# One-scale nonlinear problems

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (a(x,u)\nabla u) &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$



One-scale nonlinear problems

3 Homogenization nonlinear problems (two scales)

A. Abdulle & G. Vilmart, A priori error estimates for finite element methods with numerical quadrature for nonmonotone nonlinear elliptic problems, submitted for publication, 2011, 32 pages.

# Nonlinear nonmonotone problem

Problem.  $\nabla \cdot (a(x, u)\nabla u) = f$  in  $\Omega$ , u = 0 on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Important problems: thermal diffusion in materials, water infiltration in porous medium (Richards), ...

A priori error analysis for FEM with numerical quadrature ?

# Nonlinear nonmonotone problem

Problem.  $\nabla \cdot (a(x, u)\nabla u) = f$  in  $\Omega$ , u = 0 on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Important problems: thermal diffusion in materials, water infiltration in porous medium (Richards), ...

A priori error analysis for FEM with numerical quadrature ?

- Douglas, Dupont (1975)  $H^1$  and  $L^2$  estimates, no numerical quadrature
- Nitsche (1977)  $L^\infty$  estimates, no numerical quadrature

• . . .

# Nonlinear nonmonotone problem

Problem.  $\nabla \cdot (a(x, u)\nabla u) = f$  in  $\Omega$ , u = 0 on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Important problems: thermal diffusion in materials, water infiltration in porous medium (Richards), ...

A priori error analysis for FEM with numerical quadrature ?

- Douglas, Dupont (1975)  $H^1$  and  $L^2$  estimates, no numerical quadrature
- Nitsche (1977)  $L^\infty$  estimates, no numerical quadrature
- . . .
- Feistauer, Ženíšek (1987) FEM with numerical integration, for monotone problems where the weak formulation form satisfies A(v; v, v-w)-A(w; w, v-w) ≥ C ||∇v-∇w||<sup>2</sup><sub>L<sup>2</sup>(Ω)</sub>, ∀v, w ∈ H<sup>1</sup><sub>0</sub>.
- Feistauer, Křížek, Sobotíková (1993)
   FEM with numerical quadrature. For nonmonotone problems, but no convergence rates).
- Korotov, Křížek (2000) FEM with numerical integration (domain approx. in 3D), no convergence rates

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

Nonlinear homogenization meth.

### Basic assumptions on the tensor

Define 
$$a(x,s) = (a_{mn}(x,s))_{1 \le m,n \le d}$$
.

Assume

- 1.  $a_{mn}$  continuous on  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2.  $|a_{mn}(x,s_1)-a_{mn}(x,s_2)| \leq \Lambda_1 |s_1-s_2|, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$
- 3.  $\lambda \|\xi\|^2 \leq a(x,s)\xi \cdot \xi$ ,  $\|a(x,s)\xi\| \leq \Lambda_0 \|\xi\|$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

# Basic assumptions on the tensor

Define 
$$a(x,s) = (a_{mn}(x,s))_{1 \le m,n \le d}$$
.

Assume

- 1.  $a_{mn}$  continuous on  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2.  $|a_{mn}(x,s_1)-a_{mn}(x,s_2)| \leq \Lambda_1 |s_1-s_2|, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$
- 3.  $\lambda \|\xi\|^2 \leq a(x,s)\xi \cdot \xi$ ,  $\|a(x,s)\xi\| \leq \Lambda_0 \|\xi\|$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

1.,2.,3.  $\Rightarrow$  nonlinear elliptic problem has one and only one solution, classical result, Douglas, Dupont, Serrin (1971) see Chipot (2009)

1.,3.,(**Q**)  $\Rightarrow$  for all h > 0 the nonlinear problem with numerical quadrature has at least one solution  $u^h \in S_0^{\ell}(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)$  (Brouwer fixed point argument).

#### A priori error analysis for nonlinear FEM with numerical quadrature

#### Theorem

*u* sol. of nonlinear pb.,  $u^h$  sol. of nonlinear FEM with quadrature. Assume 1.,2.,3.,(**Q**) and  $h/h_K \leq C, \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ . Let  $\ell \geq 1$  and

$$u \in H^{\ell+1}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega),$$
  
 $a_{mn} \in W^{\ell+1,\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}), \qquad \forall m, n = 1 \dots d.$ 

Assume that  $\partial_u a_{mn} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ , and that  $\partial_u a_{mn}(x,s)$  and  $\partial_{uu}a_{mn}(x,s)$  are continuous and bounded on  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then, there exists  $h_0 > 0$  s.t. for all  $h \leq h_0$ ,  $u^h$  is unique and

 $\|u-u^h\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le Ch^{\ell}, \qquad \|u-u^h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le Ch^{\ell+1}.$ 

⇒ For linear problems, we recover estimates of Ciarlet, Raviart (1972) with the same assumptions (excepted  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  and the inverse assumption  $h/h_{\mathcal{K}} \leq C, \forall \mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_h$ ).

#### A priori error analysis for nonlinear FEM with numerical quadrature

#### Theorem

*u* sol. of nonlinear pb.,  $u^h$  sol. of nonlinear FEM with quadrature. Assume 1.,2.,3.,(**Q**) and  $h/h_K \leq C, \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ . Let  $\ell \geq 1$  and

$$u \in H^{\ell+1}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\Omega),$$
  
 $a_{mn} \in W^{\ell+1,\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}), \qquad \forall m, n = 1 \dots d.$ 

Assume that  $\partial_u a_{mn} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ , and that  $\partial_u a_{mn}(x,s)$  and  $\partial_{uu}a_{mn}(x,s)$  are continuous and bounded on  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then, there exists  $h_0 > 0$  s.t. for all  $h \leq h_0$ ,  $u^h$  is unique and

$$\|u-u^h\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le Ch^{\ell}, \qquad \|u-u^h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le Ch^{\ell+1}.$$

Ingredients of the proof: Gagliardo-Niremberg inequality, compactness argument, Aubin-Nitche's duality argument. Study of FEM with numerical quadrature for the linearized differential operator (non-coercive, but satisfying the Gårding inequality), Schatz's compactness argument.

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

Nonlinear homogenization meth.

Idea of the analysis: convergences rates Step 1. Using the boundedness of  $u^h$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ , the compact injection  $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$  and the uniqueness of u, we show

$$\|u-u^h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{for } h \to 0.$$

### Idea of the analysis: convergences rates Step 1. Using the boundedness of $u^h$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ , the compact injection $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and the uniqueness of u, we show

$$\|u-u^h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{for } h \to 0.$$

Step 2. Using estimates for  $A - A_H$  (using the Bramble-Hilbert lemma) and the Gagliardo-Niremberg inequality  $||v||_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \leq C ||v||_{L^2(\Omega)} ||v||_{H^1(\Omega)}$  (dim  $\Omega \leq 3$ ), we derive

$$||u - u^h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C(h^\ell + ||u - u^h||_{L^2(\Omega)})$$

### Idea of the analysis: convergences rates Step 1. Using the boundedness of $u^h$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ , the compact injection $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ and the uniqueness of u, we show

$$\|u-u^h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{for } h \to 0.$$

Step 2. Using estimates for  $A - A_H$  (using the Bramble-Hilbert lemma) and the Gagliardo-Niremberg inequality  $\|v\|_{L^3(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$  (dim  $\Omega \leq 3$ ), we derive

$$||u - u^h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C(h^\ell + ||u - u^h||_{L^2(\Omega)})$$

Step 3. Using the Aubin-Nitche duality argument, we show

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u^{h}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq C(h^{\ell} + \|u - u^{h}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}) \\ \|u - u^{h}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq C(h^{\ell+1} + \|u - u^{h}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}) \end{aligned}$$

The idea is to consider the adjoint  $L^*$  of the linearized differential operator, i.e.  $L\varphi := -\nabla (a(\cdot, u)\nabla \varphi + \varphi \partial_u a(\cdot, u)\nabla u).$ 

The Newton method and the uniqueness of  $u^h$ Newton method for the non-linear FEM. Initial guess  $z_0^h \approx u^h$ .  $N_h(z_k^h; z_{k+1}^h - z_k^h, v^h) = F_h(v^h) - (a(z_k^h)\nabla z_k^h, \nabla v^h)_h, \quad \forall v^h \in S_0^\ell(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h),$  The Newton method and the uniqueness of  $u^h$ Newton method for the non-linear FEM. Initial guess  $z_0^h \approx u^h$ .

$$N_h(z^h_k;z^h_{k+1}-z^h_k,\mathbf{v}^h)=F_h(\mathbf{v}^h)-(a(z^h_k)
abla z^h_k,
abla \mathbf{v}^h)_h,\quad orall \mathbf{v}^h\in S_0^\ell(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h),$$

Theorem (Convergence of the Newton method) Under assumptions of theorem, there exist  $h_0, \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $h \le h_0$ and  $\sigma_h \|z_0^h - u^h\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le \delta$ , then  $\{z_k^h\}$  is well defined, and  $\|z_{k+1}^h - u^h\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C\sigma_h \|z_k^h - u^h\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$ .  $(\sigma_h \le C(1 + |\log h|)^{1/2}$  for d = 2,  $\sigma_h \le Ch^{-1/2}$  for d = 3). The Newton method and the uniqueness of  $u^h$ Newton method for the non-linear FEM. Initial guess  $z_0^h \approx u^h$ .

$$N_h(z^h_k;z^h_{k+1}-z^h_k,\mathbf{v}^h)=F_h(\mathbf{v}^h)-(a(z^h_k)
abla z^h_k,
abla \mathbf{v}^h)_h,\quad orall \mathbf{v}^h\in S_0^\ell(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h),$$

Theorem (Convergence of the Newton method) Under assumptions of theorem, there exist  $h_0, \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $h \le h_0$ and  $\sigma_h ||z_0^h - u^h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le \delta$ , then  $\{z_k^h\}$  is well defined, and  $||z_{k+1}^h - u^h||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C\sigma_h ||z_k^h - u^h||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$ .  $(\sigma_h \le C(1 + |\log h|)^{1/2}$  for d = 2,  $\sigma_h \le Ch^{-1/2}$  for d = 3).

Proof of the uniqueness of  $u^h$ . Given two solutions  $u^h$ ,  $\tilde{u}^h$ , apply the Newton method convergence theorem with initial guess  $z_0^h := \tilde{u}^h$ .

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

Homogenization nonlinear problems (two scales)

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (a^{\varepsilon}(x,u_{\varepsilon})\nabla u_{\varepsilon}) &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_{\varepsilon} &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$



One-scale nonlinear problems

3 Homogenization nonlinear problems (two scales)

A. Abdulle & G. Vilmart, Analysis of the finite element heterogeneous multiscale method for nonmonotone elliptic homogenization problems, submitted for publication, 2011, 32 pages.

# Fully discrete error analysis

#### Theorem

 $u_0$  solution of homogenized problem. Assume (**H**). Then there exist  $r_0 > 0$  and  $H_0 > 0$  such that if  $H \le H_0$ ,  $r_{HMM} \le r_0$ , any solution  $u^H$  of the FE-HMM satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0 - u^H\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq C(H^{\ell} + r_{HMM}) \\ \|u_0 - u^H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C(H^{\ell+1} + r_{HMM}) \end{aligned}$$

*r*<sub>HMM</sub> is analyzed similarly as for linear problems:

# Fully discrete error analysis

#### Theorem

 $u_0$  solution of homogenized problem. Assume (**H**). Then there exist  $r_0 > 0$  and  $H_0 > 0$  such that if  $H \le H_0$ ,  $r_{HMM} \le r_0$ , any solution  $u^H$  of the FE-HMM satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0 - u^H\|_{H^1(\Omega)} &\leq C(H^{\ell} + r_{HMM}) \\ \|u_0 - u^H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C(H^{\ell+1} + r_{HMM}) \end{aligned}$$

*r*<sub>HMM</sub> is analyzed similarly as for linear problems:

$$r_{HMM} \leq C \left(\frac{h}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2q} + r_{MOD}$$

Remark.  $r_{MOD}$  modeling error If  $a^{\varepsilon}$  locally periodic and  $\delta = \varepsilon \Rightarrow r_{MOD} = 0$ . Otherwise:  $a^{\varepsilon}$  locally periodic with  $\delta/\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{N}^*$  with Dirichlet bound. cond.  $\Rightarrow$  resonance errors e.g.  $r_{HMM} \le \delta + \varepsilon/\delta$ . The Newton method and the uniqueness of the solution

Theorem (Convergence of the Newton method)

If in addition,  $||u^{H}||_{W^{1,6}} \leq C$  and  $r_{HMM} + r'_{HMM} \leq r'_{0}$  and  $H \leq H_{0}$ , then the Newton method to compute  $u^{H}$  is well defined and converges (in a neighbour of  $u^{H}$ ).

Theorem (Uniqueness of the FE-HMM solution) For a (non-uniformly) periodic tensor with periodic coupling FE-HMM has a unique solution  $u^H$  for all H, h satisfying

$$\left(rac{h}{\varepsilon}
ight)^{2q}\leq H,\quad h\leq h_0,\quad H\leq H_0.$$

#### Numerical experiment: convergence rates

Example (2D multiscale problem,  $\ell = 1$ , q = 1) Macro mesh refinement, fixed micro mesh ( $4 \times 4, 8 \times 8, 16 \times 16, \ldots$ )



 $a^{\varepsilon}(x,s) = \operatorname{diag}((2 + \sin(2\pi x_1/\varepsilon))(1 + x_1\sin(\pi s)), (2 + \sin(2\pi x_2/\varepsilon))(2 + \arctan(s))).$  $\|u_0 - u^H\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C(H + (h/\varepsilon)^2) \quad \|u_0 - u^H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(H^2 + (h/\varepsilon)^2).$ 

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

Nonlinear homogenization meth

Paris, July 6th, 2011

17 / 20

Numerical example: Richards equation (stationary state) Model for water infiltration in unsaturated porous media.



Porous media





Permeability tensor (exponential model)  $a^{\varepsilon}(s) = \alpha^{\varepsilon}(x)e^{\alpha^{\varepsilon}(x)s}$ 



Question: How can we reconstruct the oscillatory solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  from the homogenized solution  $u_0$  ?

Question: How can we reconstruct the oscillatory solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  from the homogenized solution  $u_0$  ?

• For linear problems  $abla \cdot (a(x,x/arepsilon) 
abla u_arepsilon) = f$ , it is well known that

 $\|u_{\varepsilon} - u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{(provided smoothness assumptions)}$ 

but  $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_0$  weakly in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . For a strong  $H^1$  convergence we need a corrector:

$$\|u_{\varepsilon} - u_0 - u_1^{\varepsilon}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

where  $u_1^{\varepsilon}(x) := \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^d \chi^j(x, x/\varepsilon) \frac{\partial u^0(x)}{\partial x_j}$ .

It is known that  $u_{\varepsilon} \approx u_0 + u_1^{\varepsilon}$  can be approximated using the FE-HMM by extending periodically the micro problem solutions.

Question: How can we reconstruct the oscillatory solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  from the homogenized solution  $u_0$  ?

• Theorem (Boccardo, Murat, 1981) Consider nonlinear problems

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon, u_{\varepsilon}) \nabla u_{\varepsilon}) = f,$$

then, any corrector  $u_1^{\varepsilon}$  for the linear problem

$$\nabla \cdot (a(x, x/\varepsilon, u_0) \nabla \overline{u}_{\varepsilon}) = f$$

is also a corrector for the nonlinear problem:

$$u_{\varepsilon} - u_0 - u_1^{\varepsilon} o 0$$
 strongly in  $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)^d$ .

Question: How can we reconstruct the oscillatory solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  from the homogenized solution  $u_0$  ?

• Theorem (Boccardo, Murat, 1981) Consider nonlinear problems

$$abla \cdot (a(x, x/arepsilon, u_arepsilon) 
abla u_arepsilon) = f,$$

then, any corrector  $u_1^{\varepsilon}$  for the linear problem

$$abla \cdot (a(x, x/arepsilon, u_0) 
abla \overline{u}_arepsilon) = f$$

is also a corrector for the nonlinear problem:

$$u_{\varepsilon} - u_0 - u_1^{\varepsilon} o 0$$
 strongly in  $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)^d$ .

 $\Rightarrow\,$  For the considered class of nonlinear problems, we can apply the standard FE-HMM post-processing procedure to the linear problem

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}/\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}^H) \nabla \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\varepsilon}) = f.$$

This yields an approximation of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

Gilles Vilmart (EPFL)

# Summary

We studied FEMs with numerial quadrature for nonmonotone nonlinear elliptic problems.

One-scale problems:

- Optimal a priori  $H^1$  and  $L^2$  estimates on FEM.
- Newton method convergence and uniqueness of FEM solution (for a sufficiently fine mesh).

Homogenization problems (two-scales):

- Optimal fully discrete error analysis (*H*<sup>1</sup> and *L*<sup>2</sup> norms) where both the macro and micro errors are take into account (mesh sizes *H*, *h* have to be refined simultaneously).
- Newton method convergence and uniqueness of FEM solution (for sufficiently fine macro and micro meshes).