From radiative transfer to radiotherapy

Christophe Berthon LMJL, Université de nantes

works in collaboration with

- Joanne Dubois, CEA-CESTA
- Bruno Dubroca, CELIA, Université Bordeaux 1
- Martin Frank, Kaiserslautern University
- Thanha Nguyen Bui, CEA-CESTA
- Céline Sarazin, LMJL, Université de Nantes
- Rodolphe Turpault, LMJL, Université de Nantes

GDR-Calcul November 9-10, 2009



Motivations

\Box Radiative transfer

- $\hookrightarrow {\rm Atmospheric\ entry}$
- \hookrightarrow Plasma flows
- \hookrightarrow Fluid photons interactions

 \Box Radiotherapy

 \hookrightarrow Matter electrons interactions



 \Box Radiative Transfer Equation (Kinetic)

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t I + \Omega \partial_x I = \sigma(B(T) - I)$$

- I : photon distribution
- c : speed of light
- σ : opacity
- B(T) : Planck's function

Prohibitive Numerical cost for our applications

Outline

- 1. Moment model for the RTE and main properties
- 2. Numerical approximation \rightarrow Specific procedure for source term
- 3. Electron extension \rightarrow Radiotherapy

The M1 model

 \Box The M1-model for radiative transfer (Dubroca-Feugeas 99)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t E + \partial_x F = c\sigma^e a T^4 - c\sigma^a E \\ \partial_t F + \partial_x c^2 P = -c\sigma^f F \\ \partial_t \rho C_v T = c\sigma^a E - c\sigma^e a T^4 \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \\ T \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}) \begin{pmatrix} F \\ c^2 P = c^2 E \chi(\frac{F}{cE}) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eradiative energy $\sigma^a := \sigma^a(x, \mathbf{W})$ Fradiative fluxand the opacities $\sigma^e := \sigma^e(x, \mathbf{W})$ Pradiative pressure $\sigma^f := \sigma^f(x, \mathbf{W})$ Tradiative temperature

 \Box Numerical approximation

- \hookrightarrow Robustness E > 0 and |F/cE| < 1
- \hookrightarrow Relevant asymptotic behaviors

□ Diffusion regime

Large opacities $\rightarrow \epsilon$ rescaling factor

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon \partial_t E + \partial_x F = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (c\sigma^e a T^4 - c\sigma^a E) \\ \epsilon \partial_t F + \partial_x c^2 P = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} c\sigma^f F \\ \epsilon \partial_t \rho C_v T = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (c\sigma^a E - c\sigma^e a T^4) \end{cases}$$

Limit $\epsilon \to 0$

- $E \to aT^4$ and $F \to 0$
- T given by a diffusion equation $\partial_t \left(\rho C_v T + a T^4\right) \partial_x \left(\frac{4c}{3\sigma^f} \partial_x T\right) = 0$

\Box Main numerical difficulties

To preserve the relevant diffusive regimes Turpault 02, Buet-Cordier 04, Buet-Després 06, CB-Dubroca-Charrier 07, Bouchut et al. 08, Coquel et al.

Objective: Asymptotic preserving methods

An hyperbolic model with source terms

$$\partial_t \mathbf{W} + \partial_x \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}) = \sigma \left(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathbf{W} \right) \quad \mathbf{W} \in \Omega \text{ convex}$$

 $\sigma := \sigma(x, \mathbf{W}) > 0 \quad \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) := \mathbf{R}(x, \mathbf{W}) \in \Omega$

 \Box M1-model: Source term given by

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{W}) &= c \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^e a T^4 - \sigma^a E \\ -\sigma^f F \\ \frac{1}{\rho C_v} (\sigma^a E - \sigma^e a T^4) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \sigma \left(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathbf{W} \right) \\ \frac{1}{\rho C_v} (\sigma^a E - \sigma^e a T^4) \end{pmatrix} &\equiv \sigma \left(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathbf{W} \right) \\ \frac{1}{\rho C_v} \left(\sigma^a E - \sigma^e a T^3 + \check{\sigma} \quad \check{\sigma} > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma^f > \sigma^a \\ \sigma^f &= \frac{\sigma^e a T^3}{\rho C_v} + \check{\sigma} \quad \check{\sigma} > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma^f > \frac{\sigma^e a T^3}{\rho C_v} \\ \frac{1}{\rho C_v} (\sigma^a E - \sigma^e a T^4) = \sigma^f \left(\frac{\sigma^a E + \check{\sigma} T}{\sigma^f} - T \right) \end{split}$$

An hyperbolic model with source terms

$$\partial_t \mathbf{W} + \partial_x \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}) = \sigma \left(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathbf{W} \right) \qquad \mathbf{W} \in \Omega \text{ convex}$$
$$\sigma := \sigma(x, \mathbf{W}) > 0 \quad \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) := \mathbf{R}(x, \mathbf{W}) \in \Omega$$

 \Box M1-model: Source term given by

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{W}) &= c \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^e a T^4 - \sigma^a E \\ -\sigma^f F \\ \frac{1}{\rho C_v} (\sigma^a E - \sigma^e a T^4) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \sigma \left(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathbf{W} \right) = c \sigma^f \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma^e a T^4 + \hat{\sigma} E}{\sigma^f} \\ 0 \\ \frac{\sigma^a F}{\rho C_v} E + \tilde{\sigma} T \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{Assumptions}{\sigma^f} &\begin{cases} \sigma^f = \sigma^a + \hat{\sigma} & \hat{\sigma} > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma^f > \sigma^a \\ \sigma^f = \frac{\sigma^e a T^3}{\rho C_v} + \check{\sigma} & \check{\sigma} > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma^f > \frac{\sigma^e a T^3}{\rho C_v} \end{cases} \\ \int \sigma^e a T^4 - \sigma^a E = \sigma^f \left(\frac{\sigma^e a T^4 + \hat{\sigma} E}{\sigma^f} - E \right) \\ \frac{1}{\rho C_v} (\sigma^a E - \sigma^e a T^4) = \sigma^f \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma^a}{\rho C_v} E + \check{\sigma} T}{\sigma^f} - T \right) \end{split}$$

A Riemann solver with stiff source terms



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{W}_{i}^{n+1} &= \mathbf{W}_{i}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (\mathcal{F}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathcal{F}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \mathcal{F}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) - \frac{\Delta x}{2\Delta t} \mathbf{W}_{i+1}^{n} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+1}} \mathbf{W}^{h}(x, t^{n} + \Delta t) \partial_{x} \\ &\hookrightarrow \text{CFL restriction} \qquad \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \max\left(|b_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{R}|, |b_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{L}| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ & \text{Lemma} \end{split}$$

Assume $\mathbf{W}^{\star} \in \Omega$. As a consequence, as soon as $\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n} \in \Omega$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n+1} \in \Omega$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

\Box Stiff source terms

Modify the Riemann solver to consider the source terms



We note $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{h}(x,t)$ the approximate solution

 $\hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\pm}(\mathbf{W})$ consistent with $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W})$

 \hookrightarrow Unchanged CFL restriction



$$\begin{split} & \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \max\left(|b_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{R}|, |b_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{L}|\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ & \mathbf{W}_{i}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{W}^{h}(x, t^{n+1}) dx \\ & \mathbf{W}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{\star, L} = \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{W}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{\star} + (1 - \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \mathbf{R}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{+} (\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) \\ & \mathbf{W}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\star, R} = \alpha_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{W}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{\star} + (1 - \alpha_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \mathbf{R}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} (\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) \end{split}$$

To obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{W}_{i}^{n+1} &= \mathbf{W}_{i}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left(\alpha_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \Delta t \left(\frac{1}{\Delta x} (1 - \alpha_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \mathbf{S}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{+} + \frac{1}{\Delta x} (1 - \alpha_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) \mathbf{S}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \right) \\ \mathbf{S}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{+} &= \max(0, b_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{R}) (\mathbf{R}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{+} (\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) - \mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) - \max(0, b_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{L}) (\mathbf{R}_{i-\frac{1}{2}}^{+} (\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) - \mathbf{W}_{i-1}^{n}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) \\ \mathbf{S}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} &= \min(0, b_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{R}) (\mathbf{R}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} (\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) - \mathbf{W}_{i+1}^{n}) - \min(0, b_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{L}) (\mathbf{R}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} (\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) - \mathbf{W}_{i-1}^{n}) - \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n}) \end{split}$$

<u>Lemma</u> Robustness

Assume the initial Godunov type scheme is robust: Ω stays invariant by the scheme

For all \mathbf{W}_L and \mathbf{W}_R in Ω , assume

$$\alpha \mathbf{W}^{\star} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{R}^{-}(\mathbf{W}_{L}) \in \Omega$$
$$\alpha \mathbf{W}^{\star} + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{R}^{+}(\mathbf{W}_{R}) \in \Omega$$

Then, which the same CFL restriction, the full scheme with stiff source term preserve the robustness property

$$\mathbf{W}_{i}^{n} \in \Omega$$
 for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathbf{W}_{i}^{n+1} \in \Omega$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

 \Box Numerical asymptotic regime

$$\partial_t \mathbf{W} + \partial_x \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}) = \sigma \left(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathbf{W} \right)$$

Godunov type scheme + Source term \Leftrightarrow Asymptotic regime reached

 \Box Introduction of a correction

 $\bar{\sigma} > 0$ arbitrary

$$\partial_t \mathbf{W} + \partial_x \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}) = (\sigma \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) + \bar{\sigma} \mathbf{W}) - (\bar{\sigma} \mathbf{W} + \sigma \mathbf{W})$$
$$= (\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) \left(\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \bar{\sigma}} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) + \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma + \bar{\sigma}} \mathbf{W} \right) - \mathbf{W} \right)$$
$$= (\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{W}) - \mathbf{W} \right)$$

The same formalism but for

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{W}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \bar{\sigma}} \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}) + \frac{\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma + \bar{\sigma}} \mathbf{W} \in \Omega$$

 $\bar{\sigma}$ will be a relevant correction to satisfy the diffusive regime

Radiative transfer: Marshak wave

Temperature obtinted with

- diffusion equation
- HLL without correction
- HLL with the asymptotic preserving correction $\bar{\sigma}$
- HLL with b^L and b^R fixed to satisfy the asymptotic regime

time t = 3. 10^{-6} and t = 1. 10^{-5}



2D case: shadow cone



Temperature on the left side of the transparent region $T = 5.8 \ 10^6$ Initial temperature in the dense region T = 1Initial temperature in the transparent region T = 300

Expected solution

- Upper part: free steaming
- Lower part: constant solution, no photon enters this area
- y = 0.5: stationary contact discontinuity
- Temperature in the dense region T = 1









Radiative temperature



Material temperature in the dense region

Exact solution is T = 1

	max material T		average material T	
Schemes	HLL	HLLC	HLL	HLLC
$b_R, b_L ext{ csts}$	4300000	17000	350000	840
b_R, b_L variables	3700000	170000	290000	11000
$b_R, b_L \text{ csts} + AP$	3600000	9000	43000	40
b_R, b_L variables + AP	740000	110000	5200	690
$b_R, b_L \text{ csts} + \text{Minmod}$	3600000	16000	240000	340
$b_R, b_L \text{ csts} + \text{Minmod} + \text{AP}$	2700000	6400	34000	26
$b_R, b_L \text{ csts} + \text{Superbee} + \text{AP}$	1600000	2400	11000	6.1

Bullet test





PLOT



Venus entry



 $T_{\rm max} \sim 12000~{\rm K}$

Venus entry 15000 HLL regular mesh HLLC regular mesh Gaz Temperature (K) 0000 Hydro (no coupling) HLL refined mesh HLLC refined mesh Regular mesh points ٠ Refined mesh points 0 5000 -0.1 -0,15 -0,05 0 Distance to the body (m)

Refined mesh ~ 20 hours to converge Regular mesh ~ 8 hours to converge

HLLC scheme cheaper

From ERT to Radiotherapy

\Box Modelisation of electron flows

- \hookrightarrow Use of ionizing radiation to treat cancer
- \hookrightarrow Aim: destroy the cancer cells and preserve healthy cells
- \hookrightarrow One or several beams sent into the body of the patient
- \hookrightarrow Linear accelerator: x-rays of very high energy





$$\partial_t E + \partial_x F = \partial_{\varepsilon} \rho(x) S(\varepsilon) E$$
$$\partial_t F + \partial_x E \chi(\frac{F}{E}) = \partial_{\varepsilon} \rho(x) S(\varepsilon) F - \rho(x) T(\varepsilon) F$$

 $\varepsilon > 0$ an energy

Numerical simulations \Leftrightarrow Numerical approximations of the M1 model

$$\partial_t \Psi^0 + \partial_x \Psi^1 = \partial_\varepsilon \rho(x) S(\varepsilon) \Psi^0$$
$$\partial_t \Psi^1 + \partial_x \Psi^0 \chi(\frac{\Psi^1}{\Psi^0}) = \partial_\varepsilon \rho(x) S(\varepsilon) \Psi^1 - \rho(x) T(\varepsilon) \Psi^1$$

 $\varepsilon > 0$ the energy

Numerical simulations \Leftrightarrow Numerical approximations of the M1 model

$$\partial_t \Psi^0 + \partial_x \Psi^1 = \partial_\varepsilon \rho(x) S(\varepsilon) \Psi^0$$
$$\partial_t \Psi^1 + \partial_x \Psi^0 \chi(\frac{\Psi^1}{\Psi^0}) = \partial_\varepsilon \rho(x) S(\varepsilon) \Psi^1 - \rho(x) T(\varepsilon) \Psi^1$$

 $\varepsilon > 0$ the energy

 \Box Numerical evaluation of the dose

$$D = \int_0^{+\infty} S(\varepsilon) \Psi^0 d\varepsilon$$

 \hookrightarrow Quadrature \Rightarrow Evaluation of $(\Psi^0(x, t, \varepsilon^p))_p$ with p large

M1 model must be solved p times

$$\partial_t \Psi^0 + \partial_x \Psi^1 = \partial_\varepsilon \rho(x) S(\varepsilon) \Psi^0$$
$$\partial_t \Psi^1 + \partial_x \Psi^0 \chi(\frac{\Psi^1}{\Psi^0}) = \partial_\varepsilon \rho(x) S(\varepsilon) \Psi^1 - \rho(x) T(\varepsilon) \Psi^1$$

 $\varepsilon > 0$ the energy

 \Box Numerical evaluation of the dose

$$D(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} S(\varepsilon) \Psi^0 d\varepsilon$$

 \hookrightarrow Quadrature \Rightarrow Evaluation of $(\Psi^0(x, t, \varepsilon^p))_p$ with p large

M1 model must be solved p times

 $\hookrightarrow \Psi^0$ given by the stationary regime $t \to +\infty$

 \Box Objective: FAST

 $\hookrightarrow Robustness$

 $\hookrightarrow \rho(x)$ is a given stiff function

Reformulation

 \Box Stationary model

$$\begin{cases} \partial_x \Psi^1 = \partial_\varepsilon \rho S \Psi^0 \\ \partial_x \Psi^0 \chi = \partial_\varepsilon \rho S \Psi^1 - \rho T \Psi^1 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \partial_\varepsilon \rho S \Psi^0 - \partial_x \Psi^1 = 0 \\ \partial_\varepsilon \rho S \Psi^1 - \partial_x \Psi^0 \chi = \rho T \Psi^1 \end{cases}$$

 ε as a pseudo time

 \Box Backwards model

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \Psi^0 = 0\\ \lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \Psi^1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{with fast decay} \quad D(x) \sim \int_0^{\varepsilon_{\max}} S(\varepsilon) \Psi^0 d\varepsilon$$

 \hookrightarrow Approximation of "initial" data

$$\Psi^0(x,\varepsilon_{\max}) = 0 \qquad \Psi^1(x,\varepsilon_{\max}) = 0$$

 $\varepsilon_{\rm max}$ large enough

Evaluation of $\Psi^0(x,\varepsilon)$ and $\Psi^1(x,\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_{\max})$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\varepsilon} \rho S \Psi^0 - \partial_x \Psi^1 = 0\\ \partial_{\varepsilon} \rho S \Psi^1 - \partial_x \Psi^0 \chi(\frac{\Psi^1}{\Psi^0}) = \rho T \Psi^1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\varepsilon} S \Psi^{0} - \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x} \Psi^{1} = 0 \\\\ \partial_{\varepsilon} S \Psi^{1} - \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_{x} \Psi^{0} \chi(\frac{\Psi^{1}}{\Psi^{0}}) = T \Psi^{1} \\\\ S \Psi^{0} = \hat{\Psi}^{0} \qquad S \Psi^{1} = \hat{\Psi}^{1} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\varepsilon}\hat{\Psi}^{0} - \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_{x}\frac{\hat{\Psi}^{1}}{S} = 0\\ \partial_{\varepsilon}\hat{\Psi}^{1} - \frac{1}{\rho}\partial_{x}\frac{\hat{\Psi}^{0}}{S}\chi(\frac{\hat{\Psi}^{1}}{\hat{\Psi}^{0}}) = \frac{T}{S}\hat{\Psi}^{1}\\ S\Psi^{0} = \hat{\Psi}^{0} \qquad S\Psi^{1} = \hat{\Psi}^{1} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} S(\varepsilon)\partial_{\varepsilon}\hat{\Psi}^{0} - \frac{1}{\rho(x)}\partial_{x}\hat{\Psi}^{1} = 0\\\\ S(\varepsilon)\partial_{\varepsilon}\hat{\Psi}^{1} - \frac{1}{\rho(x)}\partial_{x}\hat{\Psi}^{0}\chi(\frac{\hat{\Psi}^{1}}{\hat{\Psi}^{0}}) = T\hat{\Psi}^{1}\\\\ S\Psi^{0} = \hat{\Psi}^{0} \qquad S\Psi^{1} = \hat{\Psi}^{1} \end{cases}$$

 \Box Distortion of the phase space: $\rho(x)$ and $S(\varepsilon)$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \tilde{\Psi}^0 - \partial_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{\Psi}^1 = 0\\ \\ \partial_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \tilde{\Psi}^1 - \partial_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{\Psi}^0 \chi(\frac{\tilde{\Psi}^1}{\tilde{\Psi}^0}) = \tilde{T}(\tilde{\varepsilon}) \tilde{\Psi}^1 \end{cases}$$
$$S\Psi^0 = \hat{\Psi}^0(x,\varepsilon) = \tilde{\Psi}^0(\tilde{x},\tilde{\varepsilon}) \qquad S\Psi^1 = \hat{\Psi}^1(x,\varepsilon) = \tilde{\Psi}^1(\tilde{x},\tilde{\varepsilon})$$

with

$$\tilde{x}(x) = \int_0^x \rho(t) dt$$
 $\tilde{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{1}{S(t)} dt$

 $\Box \text{ Numerical approximation} \\ \hookrightarrow \text{HLL scheme}$

1D valadation tests



CPU time

- M1 model (5000 nodes) $\simeq 30$ secondes
- Monte Carlo method $\simeq 10$ minutes

Discontinuous density

Dose for water-air-water for 10 MeV



2D extension

It does not exist glogal 2D change of variables (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})

 \Box Algorithm based on local space distortions





CT scan

Scanner at hip level of a man,



Cut an interesting part with different tissues.







- M1 model (200x200) \simeq 1 hour/ray
- Monte Carlo method $\simeq 23~{\rm hours/ray}$



Hip bone CT and contour of the Monte Carlo dose

Hip bone CT and contour of the 3 M1 dose with 200x200 points

Thanks for your attention