DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE



Scalable Poisson solver for gyrokinetic simulations

V. Grandgirard¹, G. Latu¹, N. Crouseilles², A. Ratnani³, E. Sonnendrücker³

¹CEA, IRFM, Cadarache, France ³IPP Garching, Germany ² IRMAR Rennes, France ⁴Nancy univ., France





www.cea.fr

Groupe Calcul 🔺



Turbulence governs Fusion plasma performance





- Scaling law in tokamaks: plasma volume × τ_E ≈ cte with τ_E = energy confinement time ~ measure of thermal insulation.
- Two main possibilities to increase tokamak performances:

1 increase the size of the machine or/and **2** increase τ_E

- Turbulence governs τ_E
 - Generates loss of heat and particles
 - Confinement properties of the magnetic configuration

 Understanding, predicting and controlling turbulence for optimizing experiments like ITER and future reactors is a subject of utmost importance.

Virginie GRANDGIRARD

Groupe Calcul 🔞

Kinetic theory: \rightarrow 6D distribution function of particles (3D in space and 3D in velocity) $F_s(r, \theta, \varphi, v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, \alpha)$

large phase space reduction 6D to 5D

- Fusion plasma turbulence is low frequency: $\omega_{turb} \sim 10^5 s^{-1} \ll \omega_{ci} \sim 10^8 s^{-1}$
- Phase space reduction: fast gyro-motion is averaged out
 - Adiabatic invariant: magnetic moment $\mu = m_s v_{\perp}^2/(2B)$
 - Velocity drifts of guiding centers
- Large reduction memory/CPU time

Gyrokinetic theory:

Complexity of the system



Gyrokinetic theory: \blacksquare 5D distribution function of guiding-centers $\overline{F}_{s}(r, \theta, \varphi, v_{G||}, \mu)$ where μ parameter

Virginie Grandgirard

* Groupe Calcul *





- Gyrokinetic codes require state-of-the-art HPC techniques and must run efficiently on several thousands processors.
 - non-linear 5D simulations
 - multi-scale problem in space and time
 - time: $\Delta t \approx \gamma^{-1} \sim 10^{-6} s \rightarrow t_{\text{simul}} \approx \text{few } \tau_E \sim 10 s$
 - space: $\rho_i \rightarrow$ machine size *a*

$$ho_* \equiv rac{
ho_i}{a} \ll 1$$
 $(
ho_*^{\mathrm{ITER}} \approx 10^{-3})$

- GK codes are extremely CPU time consuming
- GK code development is an highly international competitive activity
 - US: ~ 8 codes EU: 5 codes Japan: 2 codes
- European collaboration \Rightarrow Eurofusion project (2014 + 2015-2018?)
 - Validation and verification of european GK codes
 - GYSELA (France) GENE (Germany) ORB5 (Switzerland)





Gyrokinetic complexity due to the fact the Poisson is solved with the charge density of particles and the Vlasov equation describe the guiding-center evolution.





- Hybrid MPI/OpenMP parallelism
- Relative efficiency of 91% on 458752 cores (performed on the totality of JUQUEEN/Blue Gene machine (Juelich))



Execution time, one Gysela (Weak Scaling - Juqueen)

- Poisson solver ~ 15% of the total time
- Efficiency of Poisson solver ~ 41% Work still under progress.

Virginie GRANDGIRARD

🖈 Groupe Calcul 🤞

Relative efficiency, one run (Weak scaling - Juqueen)







Solving the 3D quasi-neutrality equation is equivalent to:

Find $\phi(r, \theta, \varphi)$ such that:

$$-\frac{1}{n_{e_0}}\sum_{s}Z_{s}\nabla_{\perp}\cdot\left(\frac{n_{s,eq}}{B\Omega_{s}}\nabla_{\perp}\phi\right)+\frac{e}{T_{e,eq}}\left(\phi-\left\langle\phi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{FS}}\right)=\frac{1}{n_{e_0}}\sum_{s}Z_{s}\int J_{0}\cdot\left(\bar{F}_{s}-\bar{F}_{s,eq}\right)d^{3}v$$

adiabatic electrons

polarization term due to ≠ between guiding-centers and particles

- Numerical methods:
 - Fourier projection in periodic directions θ and φ
 - Finite differences in radial direction





Solving the 3D quasi-neutrality equation is equivalent to:

Find $\phi(r, \theta, \varphi)$ such that:

$$-\frac{1}{n_{e_0}}\sum_{s}Z_{s}\nabla_{\perp}\cdot\left(\frac{n_{s,eq}}{B\Omega_{s}}\nabla_{\perp}\phi\right)+\frac{e}{T_{e,eq}}\left(\phi-\left\langle\phi\right\rangle_{\mathrm{FS}}\right)=\frac{1}{n_{e_0}}\sum_{s}Z_{s}\int J_{0}\cdot\left(\bar{F}_{s}-\bar{F}_{s,eq}\right)d^{3}v$$

adiabatic electrons

polarization term due to ≠ between guiding-centers and particles

Difficulties:

R.H.S = integral over the velocity space

 \Rightarrow Parallel communications ++

7





Solving the 3D quasi-neutrality equation is equivalent to:

Find $\phi(r, \theta, \varphi)$ such that:

$$-\frac{1}{n_{e_0}}\sum_{s}Z_{s}\nabla_{\perp}\cdot\left(\frac{n_{s,eq}}{B\Omega_{s}}\nabla_{\perp}\phi\right)+\frac{e}{T_{e,eq}}\left(\phi-\left\langle\phi\right\rangle_{FS}\right)=\frac{1}{n_{e_0}}\sum_{s}Z_{s}\int J_{0}\cdot\left(\bar{F}_{s}-\bar{F}_{s,eq}\right)d^{3}v$$

polarization term due to ≠ between guiding-centers and particles adiabatic electrons

Difficulties:

R.H.S = integral over the velocity space

 \Rightarrow Parallel communications ++

 $(\phi)_{FS} = \int \int \phi \mathcal{J}_x d\theta d\varphi / \int \int \mathcal{J}_x d\theta d\varphi \quad \text{flux surface average of } \phi$ $\Rightarrow Pb \text{ in Fourier due to coupling between } \theta \text{ and } \varphi$

Virginie GRANDGIRARD

★ Groupe Calcul ★





(2)

Quasi-neutrality → Poisson equation form:

$$\mathcal{L}\phi(r,\theta,\varphi) + \alpha(r)\left(\phi(r,\theta,\varphi) - \langle \phi \rangle_{\rm FS}(r)\right) = \rho(r,\theta,\varphi) \tag{1}$$

with
$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{n_{e_0}} \sum_{s} Z_s \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \left(\frac{n_{s,eq}}{B\Omega_s} \nabla_{\perp} \phi \right)$$
 and $\langle \cdot \rangle_{FS} = \int \int \cdot \mathcal{J}_x d\theta d\varphi / \int \int \mathcal{J}_x d\theta d\varphi$

Compute $\rho(r, \theta, \varphi)$ and $\langle \rho \rangle_{\theta, \varphi}(r)$ with $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\theta, \varphi}(r) = \int \int \cdot d\theta d\varphi / L_{\theta} L_{\varphi}$

- Solve for all φ , solve with Fourier Projection in θ and Finite differences in r $(\mathcal{L} + \alpha(r)) \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \rho - \langle \rho \rangle_{\theta,\varphi} \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \phi - \langle \phi \rangle_{\theta,\varphi}$
- Compute $\langle \tilde{\Phi} \rangle_{FS}$
- Solve the 1D radial system with Finite differences of second order

$$\mathcal{L}\langle\phi\rangle_{\theta,\varphi} + \alpha(\mathbf{r})\left(\langle\phi\rangle_{\theta,\varphi} - \langle\phi\rangle_{\mathrm{FS}}\right) = \langle\rho\rangle_{\theta,\varphi}$$
(3)

Finally, ϕ is reconstructed as :





More realistic magnetic configurations for GYSELA(1/2)



Collaboration IPP-Garching (L. Mendoza – PhD (joint supervision with IRFM) + A. Ratnani + E. Sonnendrucker), Univ Nancy (A. Back – Post-Doc) + INRIA Strasbourg (SELALIB team)

Aim: More realistic magnetic configurations for GYSELA



Development of an hybrid method based on a coupling between semi-Lagrangian scheme and ISOgeometric approach.

- Generalized Poisson solver under tests in SELALIB
- Hybrid Vlasov-Poisson solver for simplified 4D drift-kinetic code using B-Splines under tests in SELALIB

CEMRACS 2014 project

Virginie GRANDGIRARD

More realistic magnetic configurations for GYSELA(2/2)

Collaboration IPP-Garching (L. Mendoza – PhD (joint supervising with IRFM) + A. Ratnani + E. Sonnendrucker), Univ Nancy (A. Back – Post-Doc) + INRIA Strasbourg (SELALIB team)

- A new mapping with Box-Splines
 - Generalization of B-splines
 - No singular points
 - No need of multiple patches for the core
 - Twelve-fold symmetry
 - → more efficient programming
 - Regularity of the mesh

 \rightarrow easy to find feet of characteristic for semi-Lagrangian scheme

Test of feasibility of diocotron instability in progress in SELALIB IL. Mendoza.







Collaborations:

- ADT INRIA Selalib (2011-2015) → Strasbourg, Bordeaux
- Action C2S@Exa IPL INRIA (march 2013-2017)
 - \hookrightarrow Nice, Bordeaux
- New project following AEN INRIA Fusion (evaluation in progress) → Strasbourg, Lyon, Nice
- Collaborations with IPP Garching (Germany) since 2012
- Collaborations with "Maison de la Simulation"- Saclay (Paris) since 2012



DSM IRFM SCCP/GTTM

Etablissement public à caractère industriel et commercial | RCS Paris B 775 685 019

Virginie GRANDGIRARD